

1. The LMS algorithm is

$$\mathbf{h}(n+1) = \mathbf{h}(n) - \frac{\mu}{2} \nabla J(\mathbf{h})|_{\mathbf{h}=\mathbf{h}(n)}$$

Now compute the partial derivatives.

Compute the expectation:

$$E\{\mathbf{h}(n+1)\} = (1 - \mu\alpha) E\{\mathbf{h}(n)\} + \mu E\{\mathbf{u}(n)e(n)\}$$

Use the approximation

$$E\{\mathbf{u}(n)\mathbf{u}^T(n)\mathbf{h}(n)\} \approx E\{\mathbf{u}(n)\mathbf{u}^T(n)\}E\{\mathbf{h}(n)\}$$

which was verified in lectures for a *block-type* update scheme. Thus

$$E\{\mathbf{h}(n+1)\} = (1 - \mu\alpha) E\{\mathbf{h}(n)\} + \mu\mathbf{p} - \mu\mathbf{R}E\{\mathbf{h}(n)\}$$

Replace left and right-hand side by the limit $\bar{\mathbf{h}}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\bar{\mathbf{h}} &= \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{R}\bar{\mathbf{h}} \\ \bar{\mathbf{h}} &= (\mathbf{R} + \alpha\mathbf{I})^{-1}\mathbf{p}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote λ_{\min} and λ_{\max} the smallest and largest eigenvalues of \mathbf{R} . The smallest and largest eigenvalues of $\mathbf{R} + \alpha\mathbf{I}$ are thus equal to $\lambda_{\min} + \alpha$ and $\lambda_{\max} + \alpha$. To ensure convergence,

$$\mu < \frac{2}{\lambda_{\max} + \alpha}.$$

This algorithm can be beneficial if λ_{\min} is very small. In this case, the ratio $\lambda_{\max}/\lambda_{\min}$ is large and the speed of convergence is slow. By adding α , it speeds up the convergence of the algorithm since it reduces the eigenvalue spread.

2. Take the expectation of \hat{C}

$$\begin{aligned} E\{\hat{C}\} &= a_1 E\{y_1\} + a_2 E\{y_2\} \\ &= (a_1 + a_2)C \end{aligned}$$

where the results follows since

$$\begin{aligned} E\{y_1\} &= C + E\{e_1\} = C, \\ E\{y_2\} &= C + E\{e_2\} = C. \end{aligned}$$

For the estimate to be unbiased, we require

$$a_1 + a_2 = 1.$$

Compute the variance of the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var} \{ \hat{C} \} &= E \left\{ \left(\hat{C} - E \{ \hat{C} \} \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= E \left\{ (a_1 y_1 + a_2 y_2 - (a_1 C + a_2 C))^2 \right\} \\ &= E \left\{ (a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now substitute $a_2 = 1 - a_1$ in $\text{var} \{ \hat{C} \}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var} \{ \hat{C} \} &= E \left\{ (a_1 (e_1 - e_2) + e_2)^2 \right\} \\ &= a_1^2 E \left\{ (e_1 - e_2)^2 \right\} + 2a_1 E \{ (e_1 - e_2) e_2 \} + E \{ e_2^2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the derivative with respect to a_1 and setting it to zero gives

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{E \{ (e_2 - e_1) e_2 \}}{E \{ (e_1 - e_2)^2 \}} = \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}, \\ a_2 &= \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The result is very intuitive. If $\sigma_2^2 \gg \sigma_1^2$, then the measurement y_2 is trusted less as $a_1 \approx 1$, $a_2 \approx 0$.

3. Define the augmented state $\mathbf{z}(n) = [\mathbf{x}(n) \quad \mathbf{v}(n)]^T$ which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}(n) &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}_v \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}_v \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}(n-1) + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}_v \\ \mathbf{B}_v \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}(n), \\ \mathbf{y}(n) &= [\mathbf{C} \quad \mathbf{0}] \mathbf{z}(n) + \mathbf{w}(n). \end{aligned}$$

4. The state-space representation is

$$\begin{aligned} x(n) &= x(n-1) = \alpha, \\ y(n) &= x(n) + w(n) \end{aligned}$$

with $E \{ x(0) \} = 0$ and $E \{ x(0)^2 \} = \sigma_\alpha^2$.

In lectures we derived the Kalman filter:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}(n) &= \hat{x}(n-1) + \frac{\sigma^2(n)}{\sigma^2(n) + \sigma_w^2} (y(n) - \hat{x}(n-1)), \\ \sigma^2(n) &= \sigma^2(n-1) \left(1 - \frac{\sigma^2(n-1)}{\sigma^2(n-1) + \sigma_w^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

with $\sigma^2(0) = \sigma_\alpha^2$. Note that $\sigma^2(n)$ is a positive sequence decreasing over time, i.e. $\sigma^2(n) < \sigma^2(n-1)$. Assume $\sigma^2(n)$ has a limit. Call the limit σ^2 . Now solve

$$\sigma^2 = \sigma^2 \left(1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_w^2} \right)$$

to get the answer, which is $\sigma^2 = 0$. Thus the Kalman filter converges towards the true value of the parameter.

5. Consider first the case when $p \geq q$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(n) &= [\alpha(n) \quad \alpha(n-1) \quad \cdots \quad \alpha(n-p+1)]^T, \\ \mathbf{F}(n) &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_p \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{G}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{H}(n) &= [b_0 \quad \cdots \quad b_{q-1} \quad \underbrace{0 \quad \cdots \quad 0}_{q-p}]. \end{aligned}$$

The State and Observation Equation is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(n) &= \mathbf{F}(n) \mathbf{x}(n-1) + \mathbf{G}(n) v(n) \\ \mathbf{y}(n) &= \mathbf{H}(n) \mathbf{x}(n-1) + w(n) \end{aligned}$$

Consider now the case where $p < q$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(n) &= [\alpha(n) \quad \alpha(n-1) \quad \cdots \quad \alpha(n-q+1)]^T, \\ \mathbf{F}(n) &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_1 & \cdots & a_p \\ 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{G}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{H}(n) &= [b_0 \quad \cdots \quad b_{q-1}]. \end{aligned}$$